

Volatility Swap Under The SABR Model

Simon Bossoney

March 26, 2013

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	The SABR Model	3
2	Volatility Swaps	3
2.1	Principle of The swaps	3
2.2	Getting Rid of The Square Root	4
2.3	A differential Equation for φ	4
3	Solving the differential equation	5
4	Recovering The Square Root	6
4.1	Value at $t = t_0 + T$	8
5	Verification By Functional Calculus	9
6	Conclusion	10

Abstract

The SABR model is shortly presented and the volatility swap explained. The fair value for a volatility swap is then computed using the usual theory in financial mathematics. An analytical solution using confluent hypergeometric functions is found. The solution is then verified using Rama Cont's functional calculus.

1 Introduction

Mathematical models for the computation of financial derivatives have gained some importance in the financial industry. A breakthrough was realized with the Black & Scholes model. Though widely applied, this model soon began to show its limits and shortcomings. Among other deficiencies, the hypothesis of constant volatility for the underlying appeared as a much too strong assumption. It is usually now dealt with by quoting a so-called implied volatility, which, when inserted in the Black & Scholes equation for the option pricing, gives the traded prices for the latter.

1.1 The SABR Model

As another Ansatz, models with time-dependent volatilities or even stochastic volatilities were introduced. A famous example is the Heston-model, where the variance of the underlying is itself stochastic. Another widely used model is the SABR-model, where this time the volatility is stochastic. Under the forward measure, the forward prices F_t for an underlying is governed by the stochastic process

$$\begin{aligned} dF_t &= \sigma_t F_t dW_t, \\ d\sigma_t &= \alpha \sigma_t dZ_t, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where α is some positive constant and where W_t and Z_t are Wiener processes with a correlation coefficient $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ [1]. In general, the first stochastic differential equation reads $dF_t = \sigma_t F_t^\beta dW_t$, with $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, but we are going to work with $\beta = 1$ throughout our computations. This will have no influence in the following, since in our definition of the volatility swap, only the stochastic process governing the volatility will be considered. European call options are then priced under the forward measure as

$$S(F, \sigma, K, t) = p(t, T) \mathbb{E}((F_T - K)^+ | F_t = F, \sigma_t = \sigma), \tag{2}$$

where $p(t, T)$ is the price at t of a zero-coupon bond paying 1 at maturity T (see [2]).

2 Volatility Swaps

As it became obvious that volatilities and variances for various underlyings behave in a stochastic manner on the market, several derivative instruments dealing with those quantities were introduced.

2.1 Principle of The swaps

A variance swap consists of a strike K and a realized average variance $1/T \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt$ over some time-period $t_0, t_0 + T$. The pay-off function is then the difference of these two quantities. There are known closed form solution for variance swaps, but it seems, that these instruments are rather volatile and risky.

A volatility swap is a bet on a realized averaged volatility $1/T \sqrt{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt}$ over some time-period $t_0, t_0 + T$. By taking the square root of the realized variance, this instrument has a somewhat less volatile behavior than a variance swap. But mathematically, it is exactly this square root that makes computations more difficult.

Here we propose to compute exact solutions to the fair value of a volatility swap

$$p(t, T) \left(\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt} | \sigma_t = \sigma \right) - K \right),$$

under the SABR model with $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$. In the following, we shall write

$$\kappa_t := \mathbb{E} \left(\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt} | \sigma_t = \sigma \right),$$

so that the fair price of a volatility swap becomes $p(t, T)(\kappa_t - K)$. The task is now to compute κ_t .

2.2 Getting Rid of The Square Root

It is a well-known fact, that for $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$$\sqrt{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \exp(-x^2 y)}{x^2} dx \quad (3)$$

we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_t &= \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{T} \sqrt{\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt} \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T\sqrt{\pi}} \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \exp(-x^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt)}{x^2} \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}\left(\exp(-x^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt) \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right)}{x^2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We will hence have to compute the quantity

$$f(t, \sigma, x) := \mathbb{E}\left(\exp(-x^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt) \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right) \quad (5)$$

and integrate along x as in the previous equality.

Note, that x is not stochastic but a variable of integration. Also, we did not fully justify the interchange of the order of integration, which shall be justified a posteriori. Also, if $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T$, then f will also depend on the realized volatility $\nu_t := \int_{t_0}^t \sigma_t^2 dt$ "up to t ". We suppose at first, that $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \sigma, \nu_t, x) &:= \mathbb{E}\left(\exp(-x^2 \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt) \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\exp(-x^2 \nu_t) \exp(-x^2 \int_t^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt) \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right) \\ &= \exp(-x^2 \nu_t) \mathbb{E}\left(\exp(-x^2 \int_t^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt) \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma\right) \\ &\equiv \exp(-x^2 \nu_t) \varphi(t, \sigma, x). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

2.3 A differential Equation for φ

The Feynman-Kac formula gives the correspondence between expectation computations for a stochastic process and differential equations. For a stochastic process governed by the equation

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t, t)dt + \sigma(X_t, t)dW_t, \quad (7)$$

the function

$$u(x, t) := \mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(-\int_t^T V(X_\tau) d\tau\right) f(X_s, s) ds + \exp\left(-\int_t^T V(X_s) ds\right) \Psi(X_T)\right) \quad (8)$$

is the solution to the differential equation

$$\partial_t u(x, t) + \mu(x, t) \partial_x u(x, t) + \frac{\sigma^2(x, t)}{2} \partial_x^2 u(x, t) - V(x, t) u(x, t) + f(x, t) = 0, \quad (9)$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$u(x, T) = \Psi(x). \quad (10)$$

In our situation, we have $\mu(\sigma_t, t) = 0 = f(\sigma_t, t)$, $\Psi(x) = 1$, $V(\sigma_t) = x^2 \sigma_t^2$ and the stochastic diffusion term is $\alpha \sigma_t$, so that $\varphi(t, \sigma, x)$ is, for a given x , solution to the differential equation

$$\partial_t \varphi(t, \sigma, x) + \frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}{2} \partial_\sigma^2 \varphi(t, \sigma, x) - x^2 \sigma^2 \varphi(t, \sigma, x) = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{2}{\alpha^2} \partial_t \varphi(t, \sigma, x) = \sigma^2 \partial_\sigma^2 \varphi(t, \sigma, x) - \frac{2x^2 \sigma^2}{\alpha^2} \varphi(t, \sigma, x), \quad (12)$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$\varphi(T, \sigma, x) = 1. \quad (13)$$

Note, that here, x is only a parameter, over which we will have to integrate later on. Here, x is indexing a family of differential equations. We shall hence drop it from the variables in φ . Writing $y := \sqrt{2x}\sigma/\alpha$ and $\psi(t, y) := \varphi(t, \sigma(y))$, we are to solve

$$-\frac{2}{\alpha^2} \partial_t \psi(t, y) = y^2 \partial_y^2 \psi(t, y) - y^2 \psi(t, y), \quad (14)$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$\psi(T, y) = 1. \quad (15)$$

Clearly, we will have $\varphi(t, \sigma, x) = \psi(t, \frac{\sqrt{2x}\sigma}{\alpha})$.

3 Solving the differential equation

If it wasn't for the missing $y \partial_y$ term, the differential operator appearing on the right-hand side of equation 14 would be the modified Bessel differential operator, whose solutions are well-known. In order to retrieve this equation, we define $g(t, y) := y^{-1/2} \psi(t, y)$. This function satisfies the equation

$$\frac{1}{4} g(t, y) - \frac{2}{\alpha^2} \partial_t g(t, y) = y^2 \partial_y^2 g(t, y) + y \partial_y g(t, y) - y^2 g(t, y), \quad (16)$$

subject to the terminal condition

$$g(T, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}. \quad (17)$$

The solutions to the equation

$$k^2 f(y) = y^2 \partial_y^2 f(y) + y \partial_y f(y) - y^2 f(y), \quad (18)$$

are the modified Bessel functions of the first and second kind $I_k(y)$ and $K_k(y)$. The functions $I_k(y)$ read

$$I_k(y) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m! \Gamma(k + m + 1)} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2m+k}. \quad (19)$$

The terminal condition $y^{-1/2}$ can be expressed in terms of these functions as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n (2n - 1/2) \Gamma(n - 1/2)}{n!} I_{2n-1/2}(y). \quad (20)$$

The convergence of this series is pointwise. We may now write the solution to the equation 16 as

$$g(t, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n (2n - 1/2) \Gamma(n - 1/2)}{\sqrt{2n!}} I_{2n-1/2}(y) \exp(E_n(T + t_0 - t)). \quad (21)$$

where $E_n := \frac{\alpha^2}{2}((2n - 1/2)^2 - 1/4) = \alpha^2 n(2n - 1)$. Consequently,

$$\psi(t, y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n (2n - 1/2) \Gamma(n - 1/2)}{n!} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{1/2} I_{2n-1/2}(y) \exp(E_n(T + t_0 - t)). \quad (22)$$

4 Recovering The Square Root

We shall now compute κ_t for a volatility swap under the SABR model, by integrating over x . At first, we will suppose $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T$. The case $t < t_0$ will be treated afterwards. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_t &= \frac{1}{T\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \exp(-x^2\nu_t)\varphi(t, \sigma, x)}{x^2} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{T\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1 - \exp(-x^2\nu_t) + \exp(-x^2\nu_t)(1 - \varphi(t, \sigma, x))}{x^2} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \sqrt{\nu_t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-x^2\nu_t)(1 - \varphi(t, \sigma, x))}{x^2} dx \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \sqrt{\nu_t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-x^2\nu_t)(1 - \psi(t, \frac{\sqrt{2}x\sigma}{\alpha}))}{x^2} dx \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \left\{ \sqrt{\nu_t} + \frac{\sqrt{2}\sigma}{\alpha\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{\alpha^2\nu_t}{2\sigma^2}y^2)(1 - \psi(t, y))}{y^2} dy \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

To lighten up the notations, we shall write $J := \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{\alpha^2\nu_t}{2\sigma^2}y^2)(1 - \psi(t, y))}{y^2} dy$. Moreover, we write $a_n := \frac{(-1)^n(2n-1/2)\Gamma(n-1/2)}{n!}$ and $F_n(y) := (\frac{y}{2})^{1/2} I_{2n-1/2}(y)$. We will split the integral as

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{\alpha^2\nu_t}{2\sigma^2}y^2)(1 - \psi(t, y))}{y^2} dy \quad (z := \frac{2\sigma^2}{\alpha^2\nu_t}) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z})(1 - \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n F_n(y) \exp(E_n(T + t_0 - t)))}{y^2} dy \\
&= J_0 + J_\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z})(1 - a_0 F_0(y))}{y^2} dy \quad \text{and} \\
J_\infty &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z})(\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n F_n(y) \exp(E_n(T + t_0 - t)))}{y^2} dy.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe, that $F_n(y) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} (\frac{y}{2})^{2m+2n}$. To compute J_0 , we insert $a_0 = -1/2\Gamma(-1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$, and $F_0 = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} (\frac{y}{2})^{2m}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z})(1 - \sqrt{\pi} F_0(y))}{y^2} dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z}) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{-\Gamma(1/2)}{m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} (\frac{y}{2})^{2m}}{y^2} dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\frac{y^2}{z}) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{-\Gamma(1/2)}{m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} (\frac{y}{2})^{2m} \frac{1}{4} (\frac{y}{2})^{-2} dy \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{-\Gamma(1/2)}{4m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} \int_0^\infty \exp(-\frac{y^2}{z}) (\frac{y}{2})^{2m-2} dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where the interchange of the integral and the sum is justified by the fact, that all terms which are to integrate have the same sign, so that the convergence of the integral of the sum is equivalent to the convergence of the sum of the integrals.

This integral is fairly easily computed:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{z}\right) \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2m-2} dy &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-s) \left(\frac{sz}{4}\right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{z}{4s}\right)^{1/2} ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty \exp(-s) \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m-1/2} s^{m-3/2} ds \\
&= \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m-1/2} \Gamma(m-1/2).
\end{aligned}$$

We arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
J_0 &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{-\Gamma(1/2)}{4m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m-1/2} \Gamma(m-1/2). \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{-\sqrt{\pi}}{4m!(m-1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m-1/2} \\
&= -\sqrt{\pi} \frac{1}{16} \int_0^z \left(\frac{4}{\zeta}\right)^{3/2} (\exp(\zeta/4) - 1) d\zeta \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}\right) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{z}} (1 - \exp(z/4)) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{erfi}()$ is the imaginary error function. Hence, we get

$$\kappa_t = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{\pi} \left[\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2} \operatorname{erfi}\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2}\right) + 1 - \exp(z/4) \right] + \sqrt{\frac{z}{\pi}} J_\infty \right\}$$

We now proceed to compute the other terms in J_∞ . There, we need to compute for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z}) F_n(y)}{y^2} dy &= \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{z}\right) \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2m+2n} \frac{dy}{y^2} \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{4m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{z}\right) \left(\frac{y}{2}\right)^{2m+2n-2} dy \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{4m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{z}\right) \left(\frac{y^2}{4}\right)^{m+n-3/2} \frac{y dy}{2} \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{4m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} \int_0^\infty \exp(-s) \left(\frac{sz}{4}\right)^{m+n-3/2} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right) ds \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{4m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m+n-1/2} \int_0^\infty \exp(-s) s^{m+n-3/2} ds \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n+m-1/2)}{4m!\Gamma(m+2n+1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m+n-1/2} \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(n-1/2)(n-1/2)^{(m)}}{4m!\Gamma(2n+1/2)(2n+1/2)^{(m)}} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m+n-1/2} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(n-1/2)}{4\Gamma(2n+1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{n-1/2} {}_1F_1(n-1/2; 2n+1/2; z/4),
\end{aligned}$$

where ${}_1F_1(a; b; z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function. Inserting the exponential factors and the a_n 's, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\infty &= - \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \exp(E_n(T+t_0-t)) \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-\frac{y^2}{z}) F_n(y)}{y^2} dy \\
&= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(n-1/2)^2}{4n!\Gamma(2n-1/2)} e^{E_n(T+t_0-t)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{n-1/2} {}_1F_1(n-1/2; 2n+1/2; z/4)
\end{aligned}$$

Note, that J_0 may also be expressed as a confluent hypergeometric function, as

$$\begin{aligned} J_0 &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{-\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(m-1/2)}{4m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{m-1/2} \\ &= \frac{-\Gamma(1/2)}{4} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{-1/2} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{\Gamma(m-1/2)}{m!\Gamma(m+1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^m \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(-1/2)^2}{4\Gamma(-1/2)} \left(\frac{z}{4}\right)^{-1/2} \left({}_1F_1(-1/2; +1/2; \frac{z}{4}) - 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

We finally arrive at

$$\kappa_t = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n(T+t_0-t)} \zeta^n {}_1F_1(n-1/2; 2n+1/2; \zeta), \quad (23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\Gamma(n-1/2)^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}n!\Gamma(2n-1/2)}, \\ E_n &= \alpha^2 n(2n-1), \\ \nu_t &= \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_t^2 dt \text{ is the realized volatility up to } t, \\ \zeta &= \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha^2\nu_t} = \frac{z}{4}, \\ \sigma &= \sigma_t \text{ is the volatility at time } t \text{ and } {}_1F_1(; ;) \text{ are confluent hypergeometric functions.} \end{aligned}$$

The fair value of a volatility swap with $t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + T$ is hence

$$p(t, T) \left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n(T+t_0-t)} \zeta^n {}_1F_1(n-1/2; 2n+1/2; \zeta) - K \right). \quad (24)$$

4.1 Value at $t = t_0 + T$.

We replace t with $T + t_0$. The formula 23 simplifies to

$$\kappa_{t_0+T} = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n \zeta^n {}_1F_1(n-1/2; 2n+1/2; \zeta) \right\}. \quad (25)$$

Inserting the series expansion of the confluent hypergeometric function, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{t_0+T} &= \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \left\{ \sum_{n, m \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}\Gamma(n-1/2)^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}n!\Gamma(2n-1/2)} \frac{(n-1/2)^{(m)}}{(2n+1/2)^{(m)}m!} \zeta^{n+m} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \left\{ \sum_{n, m \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}(2n-1/2)\Gamma(n+m-1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}n!\Gamma(2n+m+1/2)} \frac{\Gamma(n-1/2)}{m!} \zeta^{n+m} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By regrouping the terms with same exponents, we get

$$\kappa_{t_0+T} = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \left\{ \sum_{s \geq 0} \zeta^s \frac{\Gamma(s-1/2)}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq s} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}(2n-1/2)\Gamma(n-1/2)}{n!(s-n)!\Gamma(s+n+1/2)} \right\}.$$

The first term, i.e. the constant multiplying ζ^0 , is 1. The second, with $s = 1$ and $n = 0, 1$ is equal to $-(-1/2)\Gamma(-1/2)/\Gamma(3/2) + (3/2)\Gamma(1/2)/\Gamma(5/2) = -\Gamma(1/2)/\Gamma(3/2) + \Gamma(1/2)/\Gamma(3/2) = 0$.

For $s = 2$ and $n = 0, 1, 2$, one obtains $-(-1/2)\Gamma(-1/2)/2\Gamma(5/2) + (3/2)\Gamma(1/2)/\Gamma(7/2) - (7/2)\Gamma(3/2)/2\Gamma(9/2) =$

$-\Gamma(1/2)/2\Gamma(5/2) + 3\Gamma(1/2)/5\Gamma(5/2) - \Gamma(3/2)/2\Gamma(7/2) = (-5\Gamma(1/2) + 6\Gamma(1/2) - 2\Gamma(3/2))/10\Gamma(5/2) = 0$, and one may show by a recurrence relation, that all these sums equal zero. we thus arrive at

$$\kappa_{t_0+T} = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T},$$

which is the expected result.

This is actually the boundary value for the functional calculus we are going to study in the next section

5 Verification By Functional Calculus

In the computation of the solution, we somewhat ignored the mathematical difficulties which could arise from the exchange of orders of integration, especially when taking expectations and integrating over a parameter was involved. In this paragraph, we investigate the solution (23) in the light of Rama Cont's papers [3] & [4] on functional stochastic processes. As given by (23), κ_t is certainly well-defined. It can even be shown, that it is an analytical function. The question is, if it is truly part of the solution of the problem at hand. Observe, that

$$\kappa_t = \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_s^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \middle| \sigma_t = \sigma \right)$$

is in fact an integral depending on the path chosen for σ_t . As explained in Rama Cont's paper on functional calculus, we must have

$$D_t \kappa_t + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \sigma^2 (\nabla_\sigma^v)^2 \kappa_t = 0, \quad (26)$$

where $D_t = \partial_t + \nabla_\sigma^h$ and ∇_σ^h is the horizontal derivative, while ∇_σ^v is the vertical derivative. In our case, $\nabla_\sigma^h \zeta = 0$, $\nabla_\sigma^h \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_s^2 ds = \sigma_t^2 \theta(t-t_0) \theta(T+t_0-t)$, $\nabla_\sigma^v \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \sigma_s^2 ds = 0$, $\nabla_\sigma^v \zeta = 2\zeta/\sigma_t$ and the usual chain rules apply. We also should have $\kappa_{t_0+T} = \sqrt{\nu_{t_0+T}}$, which was already verified.

Let us lighten up the notations and put $\tau := T + t_0 - t$ and $f_n(\zeta) := {}_1F_1(n-1/2; 2n+1/2; \zeta)$. κ_t rewrites as:

$$\kappa_t = \frac{\sqrt{\nu_t}}{T} \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^n f_n(\zeta)$$

Computing derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_t \kappa_t &= \partial_t \kappa_t + \nabla_\sigma^h \kappa_t = \sqrt{\nu_t} \left\{ - \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n E_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^n f_n(\zeta) \right\} \\ &+ \sqrt{\nu_t} \alpha^2 \zeta \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^n f_n(\zeta) \right\} \\ &- 2\alpha^2 \zeta^2 \sqrt{\nu_t} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^{n-1} [\zeta f'_n(\zeta) + n f_n(\zeta)] \right\} \\ &= 2\alpha^2 \sqrt{\nu_t} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta^n f_n(\zeta) b_n e^{E_n \tau} \left(\frac{\zeta}{2} - \frac{E_n}{2\alpha^2} - \zeta n \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n \geq 0} \zeta^{n+2} f'_n(\zeta) b_n e^{E_n \tau} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_\sigma^v)^2 \kappa_t &= \sqrt{\nu_t} \nabla_\sigma^v \left[\frac{\sigma}{\alpha^2 \nu_t} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^{n-1} [\zeta f'_n(\zeta) + n f_n(\zeta)] \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2 \sqrt{\nu_t}} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^{n-1} [\zeta f'_n(\zeta) + n f_n(\zeta)] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha^4 (\nu_t)^{3/2}} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^{n-2} [2n \zeta f'_n(\zeta) + n(n-1) f_n(\zeta) + \zeta^2 f''_n(\zeta)] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, use the fact, that the hypergeometric functions are solution to the differential equation

$$z {}_1F_1''(a; b; z) = (z-b) {}_1F_1'(a; b; z) + a {}_1F_1(a; b; z).$$

In our case,

$$\zeta^2 f_n''(\zeta) = \zeta(\zeta - 2n - 1/2)f_n'(\zeta) + \zeta(n - 1/2)f_n(\zeta).$$

This yields

$$\frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}{2} (\nabla_\sigma^v)^2 \kappa_t = 2\alpha^2 \sqrt{\nu_t} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n e^{E_n \tau} \zeta^n \left[\zeta^2 f_n'(\zeta) + (\zeta + n)(n - 1/2)f_n(\zeta) \right] \right\}.$$

By replacing E_n with their values $\alpha^2 n(2n - 1)$, we see that the functional equation (26) is satisfied, which justifies the equation (24) as the solution to the fair value for volatility swaps.

6 Conclusion

The fair value of a volatility swap under the SABR model and with $t \in [t_0, t_0 + T]$ has the solution (24). The relation

$$\sum_{0 \leq n \leq s} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (2n - 1/2) \Gamma(n - 1/2)}{n! (s - n)! \Gamma(s + n + 1/2)} = 0$$

was not yet proven but can be accepted by verification. As a next computation, one may look for the value of a volatility swap for $t < t_0$. The value for a volatility option is also a question that bears some interest and should be addressed in the future.

References

- [1] Patrick S. Hagan, Deep Kumar, Andrew S. Lebniewski and Diana E. Woodward *L^AT_EX: Managing Smile Risk*.
- [2] Tomas Bjoerk, *L^AT_EX: Arbitrage Theory in Continuous Time*. Oxford University Press, 3rd Edition, 2009.
- [3] Rama Cont, *L^AT_EX: A Functional Extension of The Ito Formula*. Comptes Rendus Mathématiques de l'Académie des Sciences, Volume 348, Issues 1-2 January 2010, pages 57-61.
- [4] Rama Cont and David-Antoine Fournié *L^AT_EX: Functional Ito Calculus and Stochastic Integral Representation of Martingales*. Comptes Rendus Mathématiques de l'Académie des Sciences, hal.archives-ouvertes.fr February 2010.